UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,611	04/27/2007	Martin Larsson	41411	4995
PEARNE & GO	7590 04/02/200 DRDON LLP	EXAMINER		
1801 EAST 9T	-	DANG, HOANG C		
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND,	ОН 44114-3108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
			10/594,611	LARSSON, MA	LARSSON, MARTIN			
		Ī	Examiner	Art Unit				
		ŀ	Hoang Dang	3672				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appea	ars on the cover shee	t with the correspondence	address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum signet to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. tatutory period will v will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMU a). In no event, however, ma apply and will expire SIX (6) I use the application to become	INICATION. by a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of thi be ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 28 Sen	tember 2006					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 September 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)		<i>,</i> —		natters prosecution as to	the merits is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims			,				
		application						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
		ile Williami	TIOTI CONSIDERATION.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 Se<i>ptemb</i>e</u>	<i>er 2006</i> is/are	e: a) <u>□</u> accepted or l	b)⊠ objected to by the E>	kaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	n is required if the draw	ring(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/594,611 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The words "this feeding unit (30)" in line 6 should be --this control unit (30)--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yatsuyanagi et al (JP 08-025117).

The claimed structure reads exactly on the reference's structure when members (5), (1), (20,25), (3), (7) and (10) of Yatsuyanagi et al are respectively considered as "core drill", "stand", "feeding housing", "electric drilling motor" and "control unit" as recited. It is noted that the control knob or/and switch on operational box 10 is considered as the "first control" for manual influence of the feeding motor's feeding force as recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2-5, 7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yatsuyanagi et al '117.

Yatsuyanagi et al disclose a core drilling device substantially as claimed. It is not clear whether Yatsuyanagi et al includes a torque regulator, speed regulator, torque limiting device, water controlling means, rotational speed indicator and automatic stopping means called for in the claims. However, the examiner would like to take an Official notice that the use of a torque or speed regulator or limiting device is well known in the well drilling art to optimize the drilling operation. It is conventional to use water as a drilling fluid (thereby water flow control valve) to cool the drill bit and to carry cuttings. It is also common to provide an operator with rotational speed indicator so he/she can effectively control the drilling operation. Also, it has been held that broadly providing a mechanical or automatic means to replace manual activity which has accomplished the same result involves only routine skill in the art.

Drawings

6. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,611 Page 4

Art Unit: 3672

The drawings are further objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: handle 16 recited in page 3, lines 2 and 8. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-7028. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:15-5:45 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,611 Page 5

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hoang Dang/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3672